UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8986	
10/789,163	02/26/2004	Kazuo Hokkirigawa	051319/0166		
,	7590 02/02/2007 TH & ZABEL LLP	••	EXAMINER		
ATTN: JOEL E	E. LUTZKER		KRAUSE, JUSTIN MITCHELL		
919 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022		*	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3682	= ;	
•					
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		02/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/789,1	63	HOKKIRIGAWA ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Justin Kr		3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum state re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e inication. utory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIOn went, however, may a reply be to will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>17 January 20</i>	<i>06</i> .					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	b) This action is						
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims			•				
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖾	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)								
8)□	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•						
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 17, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 4-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jex (US Patent 5,273,819) in view of Hokkirigawa et al (US Patent 6,395,677).

Jex discloses a material composition for use in sleeve bearings (Col 14, lines 27-36), sleeve bearings by definition having a shaft and a sleeve wherein the material composition contains a combination of a filler, resin and fibers wherein the bearing can be used in a marine environment (Col 12, lines 32-34).

Jex does not disclose the use of one of RBC or CRBC as a filler material.

Art Unit: 3682

Hokkirigawa discloses a synthetic resin composition obtained by uniformly blending a rice bran powder that undergoes a carbonizing process (RBC) with a resin (Abstract) for the purposes of better hot oil resistance, retaining oil and grease for a long period of time, providing a long service life, and utilization of biomass resources (Col 1, lines 58-64) and discloses the use of fiber reinforced resins in bearings is known within the art (Col 1, lines 20-27).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bearing material of Jex and incorporate the RBC filler of Hokkirigawa for the purposes of providing a bearing with better hot oil resistance, retaining oil and grease for a long period of time, providing a long service life, and utilization of biomass resources.

There is reason to believe, based on the similarity of (material, structure, etc.), that the functional limitation(s) of the friction coefficient being between .050 and .124 when in water is (an) inherent characteristic(s) of the material. In accordance with *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977):

[W]here the Patent Office has reason to believe that a functional limitation asserted to be critical for establishing novelty in the claimed subject matter may, in fact, be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, it possesses the authority to require the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on.

This "burden of rebutting [may be of] the PTO's reasonable assertion of inherency under 35 USC 102, or of prima facie obviousness under 35 USC 103" (195 USPQ at 432).

Art Unit: 3682

Accordingly, the burden is placed upon the applicant to prove that the limitation(s) in question is/are not (an) inherent characteristic(s) of the reference disclosure.

Regarding claim 4, Hokkirigawa discloses the ratio of powder by weight of RBC to resin as 50-90:50-10 (col 2, line 51) which is within the claimed range of 10-70:90-30.

Regarding claim 5, Hokkirigawa specifically discloses both phthalate resins and polyimide resins as useable resins and further states that any thermosetting resin may be used (col 2, lines 37-43), as well as Nylon 66 being known as a useable material in bearings (Col 1, line 20).

Regarding claim 6 and 7, Hokkirigawa discloses the particle diameter of the powder of RBC to be less than 300 μ m, and more specifically within the range of 50-250 μ m (Col 3, lines 44-47).

Regarding claim 8, all fibers are either organic or inorganic.

Regarding claim 9 and 10, Hokkirigawa discloses use of glass fibers in bearings as being known in the art (Col 1, line 20)

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jex and Hokkirigawa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mori et al (US Patent 5,697,709).

Jex and Hokkirigawa disclose all of the claimed subject matter as described above but do not disclose spiral grooves on the inner face of the sleeve.

Art Unit: 3682

Mori teaches a sleeve bearing with grooves in one of the inner surface of the sleeve or the outer surface of the shaft for generating dynamic pressure (claim 7).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the bearing of Jex and Hokkirigawa and add spiral grooves to the inner face of the sleeve or outer surface of the shaft, the motivation would have been to generate dynamic pressure in the bearing gap.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jex and Hokkirigawa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jinno et al (US Patent 4,737,539).

Jex and Hokkirigawa disclose all the claimed subject matter as described above.

Jex and Hokkirigawa do not disclose a fiber content by weight to be 1-30% of the entire synthetic resin composition.

Jinno teaches a resin material for bearings with a fiber content by weight of .05-25% of the synthetic resin composition for the purposes of permitting adequate improvement in the sliding characteristics of the material and preventing difficulty in blending the fibers into the resin (Col 6, lines 37-42).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jex and Hokkirigawa and use a fiber content between 1 and 30% by weight of the entire synthetic resin composition, the motivation being permitting adequate improvement in the sliding characteristics of the material and preventing difficulty in blending the fibers into the resin.

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jex and Hokkirigawa as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Hokkirigawa et al (US 2002/0114548, herein referred to as -Hokkirigawa '4548- to distinguish between the references).

Jex and Hokkirigawa disclose all of the claimed subject matter as described above but do not disclose a shaft being made of the synthetic resin composition.

Hokkirigawa '4548 teaches use of a synthetic resin composition in a sleeve bearing shaft with a composition by mass of powder to resin to be 50-90:50-10 and that so long as one component of either the sleeve or shaft is made of an RBC resin composition and the other of the sleeve or shaft is made from a rust resistant metal, the bearing will function the same (paragraph 0009). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the shaft out of a synthetic resin composition having a ratio of RBC to resin of 30-90:70-10 for the purposes of providing a bearing with better hot oil resistance, retaining oil and grease for a long period of time, providing a long service life, and utilization of biomass resources.

Claim 12 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jex as modified by Hokkirigawa as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Clark (US Patent 4,251,192).

Jex and Hokkirigawa disclose all of the claimed subject matter as described above, but do not disclose the shaft being a rust resistant steel.

Art Unit: 3682

Clark discloses a fluid pump with a shaft (114) being made from stainless (rust resistant) steel to reduce chemical attack by the liquid being pumped (Col 3, lines 35-40).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the bearing shaft out of stainless steel as taught by Clark, the motivation would have been to reduce chemical attack by the liquid being pumped.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Krause whose telephone number is 571-272-3012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Ridley can be reached on 571-272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

1129107

Thomas R. Hannon Primary Examiner Page 8